



## UNITED TO SES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent all Prademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

08/993,696

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

12-18-97

Schanlin etal

EXAMINER

O. Wille

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3738

32

## DATE MAILED: INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Richard Lazarus (3) Exmr. Willso
(2) Corrie McDermott (4)
Date of Interview July 24, 2002
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant papplicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:
Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.
Claim(s) discussed:
Identification of prior art discussed:
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Briefly discussed the pressions Office acting and abother or not a supplemental Office acting and abother or not a supplemental Office acting and abother or not a supplemental Office
( A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Deale